

# First phylogeny of predatory flower flies (Diptera, Syrphidae, Syrphinae) using mitochondrial COI and nuclear 28S rRNA genes: conflict and congruence with the current tribal classification

Ximo Mengual<sup>a\*</sup>, Gunilla Ståhls<sup>b</sup> and Santos Rojo<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dpto. de Ciencias Ambientales/Instituto Universitario CIBIO, Universidad de Alicante, Apdo 99. E-03080 Alicante, Spain; <sup>b</sup>Finnish Museum of Natural History, PO Box 17, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Accepted 31 October 2007

---

## Abstract

The family Syrphidae (Diptera) is traditionally divided into three subfamilies. The aim of this study was to address the monophyly of the tribes within the subfamily Syrphinae (virtually all with predaceous habits), as well as the phylogenetic placement of particular genera using molecular characters. Sequence data from the mitochondrial protein-coding gene *cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI)* and the nuclear *28S ribosomal RNA* gene of 98 Syrphinae taxa were analyzed using optimization alignment to explore phylogenetic relationships among included taxa. *Volucella pellucens* was used as outgroup, and representatives of the tribe Pipizini (Eristalinae), with similar larval feeding mode, were also included. Congruence of our results with current tribal classification of Syrphinae is discussed. Our results include the tribe Toxomerini resolved as monophyletic but placed in a clade with genera *Ocyrtamus* and *Eosalpingogaster*. Some genera traditionally placed into Syrphini were resolved outside of this tribe, as the sister groups to other tribes or genera. The tribe Bacchini was resolved into several different clades. We recovered Paragini as a monophyletic group, and sister group of the genus *Allobaccha*. The present results highlight the need of a reclassification of Syrphinae.

© The Willi Hennig Society 2008.

---

Syrphidae (Diptera: Cyclorhapha) commonly called hover or flower flies, comprise almost 6000 described species and is a speciose family of Diptera (Thompson, 2006). Almost all adult syrphids visit flowers for pollen and nectar. Larvae, on the other hand, are found in a very diverse array of habitats, including fungal fruiting bodies (mycophagy), dung, nests of social Hymenoptera, decaying wood and water bodies of several types (saprophagy), mining in leaves and stems of numerous plant families (phytophagy), and as predators of arthropods. Three subfamilies, Microdontinae, Eristalinae and Syrphinae, and 14 tribes are currently recognized in the family (Thompson and Rotheray, 1998).

Ståhls et al. (2003) presented the most recent phylogenetic study on Syrphidae. They used a com-

pared analysis of molecular and morphological characters, and addressed the systematic position of the disputed elements in the intrafamilial classification of Syrphidae, namely the monophyly of Eristalinae and the placement of Microdontinae and Pipizini. Their study showed that the Microdontinae (including the genus *Spheginobaccha*) formed a clade, and Pipizini and Syrphinae were placed as the sister groups, except in the equal weight parsimony analysis where Pipizini was resolved as the sister group to Eristalinae + Syrphinae.

### *Larval feeding modes of Syrphidae*

Immature stages of Microdontinae are inquilines in ants' nests feeding on eggs, larvae and pupae, whereas larvae of Eristalinae include saprophages in a wide range of decaying media from dung to dead wood, while some species of the genus *Volucella* are wasp- and

---

\*Corresponding author:  
E-mail address: xmengual@ua.es

bee-brood predators, and *Nepenthosyrphus* larvae are sit-and-wait aquatic predators in the water-filled leaves of *Nepenthes* in South-east Asia (Mogi and Chan, 1996; Rotheray, 2003). Larvae of Syrphinae are mostly predaceous on soft-bodied Hemiptera (*sensu* Sorensen et al., 1995) such as aphids, coccids and psyllids, but some species feed on Thysanoptera, immature Coleoptera and/or Lepidoptera (Rotheray, 1993; see Rojo et al., 2003 for a review).

A recent finding shows that not all larvae of Syrphinae are predators, as phytophagy was found in the genus *Allograpta* (Nishida et al. 2002) and the larvae of the species *Toxomerus politus* is known to also feed on pollen (see comments in Rojo et al., 2003). Moreover, there are new prey groups recently discovered for predatory syrphid larvae, e.g., larvae of *Ocyptamus wulpianus* feed on soft-bodied larvae of craneflies (Tipulidae), mosquitoes (Culicidae) and aquatic beetles (Coleoptera, Helodidae) (Rotheray et al., 2000).

#### *Subfamily Syrphinae: taxonomic history*

The subfamily Syrphinae has been defined many times including different tribes and genera. The first subfamilial classification of Syrphidae was by Newman (1834), who divided the family in Chrysitoxites and Syrphites, and suggested the division of Syrphites into four subgroups: Syrphities, Eristalites, Volucellites and Rhingites. Williston (1887) established three subfamilies (Syrphinae, Eristalinae and Cerinae) and subfamily Syrphinae was divided into seven tribes. Shannon's (1921, 1922, 1923) comprehensive studies on North American taxa recognized 10 subfamilies, including a well-defined Syrphinae with four tribes (Melanostomini, Syrphini, Paragini and Bacchini); and Hull (1949a) classified syrphids into 14 subfamilies and divided Syrphinae into three tribes: Syrphini, Bacchini and Melanostomini.

Goffe (1952) used adult characters and divided Syrphinae in four tribes: Sphaerophorini (including genera *Baccha* and *Sphaerophoria*), Stenosyrphini (with genera *Melanostoma*, *Platycheirus* and others), Chrysotoxini and Syrphini (including genus *Paragus*). Wirth et al. (1965) recognized six tribes: Bacchini, Melanostomini, Paragini, Chrysotoxini, Syrphini and Pipizini, the latter was earlier included in the subfamily Eristalinae. Two years later, Dusek and Laska (1967), using larval and adult characters, divided the subfamily into seven tribes (Sphaerophorini, Melanostomini, Xanthogrammini, Chrysotoxini, Paragini, Dideini and Syrphini), and tribe Pipizini was treated as a part of the subfamily Eristalinae. Vockeroth (1969) recognized six tribes based on male terminalia and immature stages: Paragini, Chrysotoxini, Bacchini, Melanostomini, Toxomerini and Syrphini. He was the

first to discuss Neotropical Syrphinae, establishing the monogeneric tribe Toxomerini, and considered Pipizini as a member of Eristalinae. These two latter papers form the basis of the current classification of Syrphinae. Vockeroth (1992) adjusted his classification recognizing only four tribes in Syrphinae (including *Chrysotoxum* in the Syrphini and placing *Melanostomini* under Bacchini), and transferred genus *Ocyptamus* from the Bacchini to the Syrphini. For the Manual of Palearctic Diptera, Thompson and Rotheray (1998) followed him and four tribes are recognized currently in the subfamily Syrphinae: Bacchini, Paragini, Syrphini and Toxomerini. Genera currently classified into Syrphinae are listed in Table 1 based mainly in Thompson (2006).

#### *Target taxa of the present study*

The genus *Allograpta* is distributed almost worldwide, absent only in northern Nearctic and western Palearctic areas. Vockeroth (1969, 1973a) described it as one of the most variable genera of the tribe Syrphini based on the external morphology of adults, but with consistent aedeagal characters of the male genitalia. Because of the great variation in body size, shape of abdomen, body color pattern, and head shape of adults within the genus, a number of generic or subgeneric segregates were proposed. Immature stages of most known *Allograpta* species are predators of aphids and psyllids (reviewed in Rojo et al., 2003), and it is the only genus in Syrphini containing completely non-predaceous species, i.e., *Allograpta centropogonis* (Nishida et al. 2002). This switch in larval feeding biology found in *Allograpta* is relevant and interesting in the study of the evolution of larval feeding modes in Syrphinae.

*Ocyptamus* is a New World genus distributed in Nearctic and Neotropical regions. Species of this genus show much greater variation in color pattern and body shape than do those of any other genus of the tribe, and they also show more variation in the male genitalia than do those of most genera (Vockeroth, 1969). Many species of this genus were described in genera as different as *Syrphus*, *Episyrphus*, *Epistrophe*, *Melangyna* (all Syrphini) and *Baccha* (Hull, 1949a,b; Fluke, 1956). This huge variation in placement of particular taxa prompted us to study the phylogenetic relationships of taxa of *Ocyptamus*, by including as many morphologically diverse taxa as possible.

The taxonomical borders and contents of the tribe Bacchini and genus *Baccha* has changed considerably since the description of genus *Baccha* by Fabricius (1805) as *Syrphus elongatus*. We were particularly interested in how molecular characters would resolve the tribal classification and attempted to include as much taxonomical diversity as possible.

Table 1  
Attempted synthesis of generic and subgeneric names in Syrphinae (using current classification in four tribes)

Name	Author	Type species
<b>Tribe Bacchini</b>		
<i>Argentinomyia</i>	Lynch Arribalzaga 1891	<i>Argentinomyia testaceipes</i> Lynch Arribalzaga, 1891
<i>Baccha</i>	Fabricius 1805	<i>Baccha elongata</i> (Fabricius, 1775)
<i>Leucopodella</i>	Hull 1949	<i>Leucopodella bigoti</i> (Austen, 1893)
<i>Melanostoma</i>	Schiner 1860	<i>Melanostoma mellinum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Platycheirus</i>	Lepeletier & Serville 1828	<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Platycheirus</i> ) <i>scutatus</i> (Meigen, 1822)
<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Carposcalis</i> )*	Enderlein 1937	<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Carposcalis</i> ) <i>stegnus</i> (Say, 1829)
<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Eocheilosia</i> )*	Hull 1949	<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Eocheilosia</i> ) <i>ronana</i> (Miller, 1921)
<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Pachysphyria</i> )*	Enderlein 1937	<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Pachysphyria</i> ) <i>dexter</i> (Harris, 1780)
<i>Pseudoplatychirus</i>	Doesburg 1955	<i>Pseudoplatychirus peteri</i> Doesburg, 1955
<i>Pyrophaena</i>	Schiner 1860	<i>Platycheirus</i> ( <i>Pyrophaena</i> ) <i>rosarum</i> (Fabricius, 1787)
<i>Rohdendorfia</i>	Smirnov 1924	<i>Rohdendorfia dimorpha</i> Smirnov, 1924
<i>Spazigaster</i>	Rondani 1843	<i>Spazigaster apennini</i> Rondani, 1843
<i>Syrphocheilosia</i>	Stackelberg 1964	<i>Syrphocheilosia aterrima</i> Stackelberg, 1964
<i>Talahua</i> *	Fluke 1945	<i>Talahua fervida</i> (Fluke, 1945)
<i>Tuberculanostoma</i> *	Fluke 1943	<i>Tuberculanostoma antennatum</i> Fluke, 1943
<i>Xanthandrus</i>	Verrall 1901	<i>Xanthandrus</i> ( <i>Xanthandrus</i> ) <i>comtus</i> (Harris, 1780)
<i>Xanthandrus</i> ( <i>Androsyrphus</i> )*	Thompson 1981	<i>Xanthandrus</i> ( <i>Androsyrphus</i> ) <i>setifemoratus</i> Thompson, 1981
<b>Tribe Syrphini</b>		
<i>Afrosyrphus</i> *	Curran 1927	<i>Afrosyrphus varipes</i> Curran, 1927
<i>Agnisyrphus</i> *	Ghorpade 1994	<i>Agnisyrphus angara</i> Ghorpade, 1994
<i>Allobaccha</i>	Curran 1928	<i>Allobaccha rubella</i> (Wulp, 1898)
<i>Allograpta</i>	Osten Sacken 1875	<i>Allograpta</i> ( <i>Allograpta</i> ) <i>obliqua</i> (Say, 1823)
<i>Allograpta</i> ( <i>Antillus</i> )*	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Allograpta</i> ( <i>Antillus</i> ) <i>ascita</i> (Vockeroth, 1969)
<i>Allograpta</i> ( <i>Fazia</i> )	Shannon 1927	<i>Allograpta</i> ( <i>Fazia</i> ) <i>decemmaculata</i> (Rondani, 1863)
<i>Allograpta</i> ( <i>Rhinoprosopa</i> )*	Hull 1942	<i>Allograpta</i> ( <i>Rhinoprosopa</i> ) <i>aenea</i> (Hull, 1937)
<i>Asarkina</i>	Macquart 1834	<i>Asarkina</i> ( <i>Asarkina</i> ) <i>rostrata</i> (Wiedemann, 1824)
<i>Asarkina</i> ( <i>Achoanus</i> )*	Munro 1924	<i>Asarkina</i> ( <i>Achoanus</i> ) <i>hullei</i> (Munro, 1924)
<i>Asiodidea</i> *	Stackelberg 1930	<i>Asiodidea nikkoensis</i> (Matsumura, 1916)
<i>Betasyrphus</i> *	Matsumura 1917	<i>Betasyrphus serarius</i> (Wiedemann, 1830)
<i>Chrysotoxum</i>	Meigen 1803	<i>Chrysotoxum bicinctum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Citrogramma</i> *	Vockeroth	<i>Citrogramma hervebazini</i> (Curran, 1928)
<i>Dasysyrphus</i>	Enderlein 1937	<i>Dasysyrphus albostrigatus</i> (Fallen, 1817)
<i>Dideoides</i>	Brunetti 1908	<i>Dideoides ovatus</i> Brunetti, 1908
<i>Dideomima</i> *	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Dideomima coquilletti</i> (Williston, 1891)
<i>Dideopsis</i>	Matsumura 1917	<i>Dideopsis aegrota</i> (Fabricius, 1805)
<i>Doros</i> *	Meigen 1803	<i>Doros profuges</i> (Harris, 1780)
<i>Eosphaerophoria</i> *	Frey 1946	<i>Eosphaerophoria marginata</i> Frey, 1946
<i>Epistrophe</i>	Walker 1852	<i>Epistrophe grossulariae</i> (Meigen, 1822)
<i>Epistrophella</i>	Dusek & Laska 1967	<i>Epistrophella euchroma</i> (Kowarz, 1885)
<i>Episyrphus</i>	Matsumura & Adachi 1917	<i>Episyrphus</i> ( <i>Episyrphus</i> ) <i>balteatus</i> (De Geer, 1776)
<i>Episyrphus</i> ( <i>Asiobaccha</i> )*	Violovitsh 1976	<i>Episyrphus</i> ( <i>Asiobaccha</i> ) <i>nubilipennis</i> (Austen, 1893)
<i>Eriozona</i>	Schiner 1860	<i>Eriozona syrphoides</i> (Fallen, 1817)
<i>Eupeodes</i> *	Osten Sacken 1877	<i>Eupeodes</i> ( <i>Eupeodes</i> ) <i>volucris</i> Osten Sacken, 1877
<i>Eupeodes</i> ( <i>Lapposyrphus</i> )	Dusek & Laska 1967	<i>Eupeodes</i> ( <i>Lapposyrphus</i> ) <i>lapponicus</i> (Zetterstedt, 1838)
<i>Eupeodes</i> ( <i>Macrosyrphus</i> )	Matsumura & Adachi 1917	<i>Eupeodes</i> ( <i>Macrosyrphus</i> ) <i>okinawensis</i> (Matsumura, 1916)
<i>Eupeodes</i> ( <i>Metasyrphus</i> )	Matsumura 1917	<i>Eupeodes</i> ( <i>Metasyrphus</i> ) <i>corollae</i> (Fabricius, 1794)
<i>Exallandra</i> *	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Exallandra cinctifacies</i> (Speiser, 1910)
<i>Fagisyrphus</i>	Dusek & Laska 1967	<i>Fagisyrphus cinctus</i> (Fallen, 1817)
<i>Giluwea</i> *	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Giluwea flavomacula</i> Vockeroth, 1969
<i>Lamellidorsum</i> *	Huo & Zheng 2005	<i>Lamellidorsum piliflavum</i> Huo & Zheng, 2005
<i>Leucozona</i>	Schiner 1860	<i>Leucozona</i> ( <i>Leucozona</i> ) <i>lucorum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Leucozona</i> ( <i>Ischyrosyrphus</i> )	Bigot 1882	<i>Leucozona</i> ( <i>Ischyrosyrphus</i> ) <i>sivae</i> (Bigot, 1882)
<i>Megasyrphus</i>	Dusek & Laska 1967	<i>Megasyrphus erraticus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Melangyna</i>	Verrall 1901	<i>Melangyna</i> ( <i>Melangyna</i> ) <i>quadrinaculata</i> (Verrall, 1873)
<i>Melangyna</i> ( <i>Austrosyrphus</i> )*	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Melangyna</i> ( <i>Austrosyrphus</i> ) <i>novaezealandiae</i> (Macquart, 1855)
<i>Melangyna</i> ( <i>Melanosyrphus</i> )*	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Melangyna</i> ( <i>Melanosyrphus</i> ) <i>dichoptica</i> Vockeroth, 1969
<i>Meligramma</i>	Frey 1946	<i>Meligramma guttata</i> (Fallen, 1817)
<i>Meliscaeva</i>	Frey 1946	<i>Meliscaeva cinctella</i> (Zetterstedt, 1843)
<i>Notosyrphus</i> *	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Notosyrphus goldbachii</i> (Fluke, 1950)
<i>Ocyptamus</i>	Macquart 1834	<i>Ocyptamus</i> ( <i>Ocyptamus</i> ) <i>fuscipennis</i> (Say, 1823)
<i>Ocyptamus</i> ( <i>Hermesomyia</i> )	Vockeroth 1969	<i>Ocyptamus</i> ( <i>Hermesomyia</i> ) <i>wulpianus</i> (Lynch Arribalzaga, 1891)

Table 1  
(Continued)

Name	Author	Type species
<i>Ocyptamus (Mimocalla)*</i>	Hull 1943	<i>Ocyptamus (Mimocalla) capitatus</i> (Loew, 1863)
<i>Parasyrphus</i>	Matsumura 1917	<i>Parasyrphus aeneostoma</i> (Matsumura, 1917)
<i>Pelloloma*</i>	Vockeroth 1973	<i>Pelloloma nigrifacies</i> Vockeroth, 1973
<i>Pseudodoros*</i>	Becker 1903	<i>Pseudodoros (Pseudodoros) nigricollis</i> Becker, 1903
<i>Pseudodoros (Dioprosopa)</i>	Hull 1949	<i>Pseudodoros (Dioprosopa) clavatus</i> (Fabricius, 1794)
<i>Rhinobaccha*</i>	Meijere 1908	<i>Rhinobaccha gracilis</i> Meijere, 1908
<i>Salpingogaster*</i>	Schiner 1868	<i>Salpingogaster (Salpingogaster) pygophora</i> Schiner, 1868
<i>Salpingogaster (Eosalpingogaster)</i>	Hull 1949	<i>Salpingogaster (Eosalpingogaster) conopida</i> (Philippi, 1865)
<i>Scaeva</i>	Fabricius 1805	<i>Scaeva (Scaeva) pyrastris</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Scaeva (Semiscaeva)</i>	Kuznetsov 1985	<i>Scaeva (Semiscaeva) selenitica</i> (Meigen, 1822)
<i>Simosyrphus</i>	Bigot 1882	<i>Simosyrphus grandicornis</i> (Macquart, 1842)
<i>Sphaerophoria</i>	Lepelletier & Serville 1828	<i>Sphaerophoria (Sphaerophoria) scripta</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Sphaerophoria (Loveridgeana)*</i>	Doesburg & Doesburg 1977	<i>Sphaerophoria (Loveridgeana) beattiei</i> (Doesburg & Doesburg, 1977)
<i>Syrphus</i>	Fabricius 1775	<i>Syrphus ribesii</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
<i>Vockerothiella*</i>	Ghorpade 1994	<i>Vockerothiella laticornis</i> (Curran, 1928)
<i>Xanthogramma</i>	Schiner 1860	<i>Xanthogramma pedissequum</i> (Harris, 1776)
Tribe Paragini		
<i>Paragus</i>	Latreille 1804	<i>Paragus (Paragus) bicolor</i> (Fabricius, 1794)
<i>Paragus (Afroparagus)*</i>	Vujic & Radenkovic, 2008	<i>Paragus (Afroparagus) borbonicus</i> Macquart, 1842
<i>Paragus (Pandasyopthalmus)</i>	Stuckenberg 1954	<i>Paragus (Pandasyopthalmus) longiventris</i> Loew, 1858
<i>Paragus (Serratoparagus)</i>	Vujic & Radenkovic, 2008	<i>Paragus (Serratoparagus) pusillus</i> Stuckenberg, 1954
Tribe Toxomerini		
<i>Toxomerus</i>	Macquart 1855	<i>Toxomerus geminatus</i> (Say, 1823)

\*Genera and subgenera not included in the present analysis. As a type species, current valid name is given.

### Tribe Pipizini

Tribe Pipizini has been treated as a member of the subfamily Eristalinae using the traditional evidence from adult morphological characters (see Thompson, 1969, 1972). Vockeroth (1969) referred the tribe Pipizini to Eristalinae on the basis of characters of the adults, and World Catalogues (Vockeroth and Thompson, 1987; Thompson and Vockeroth, 1989; Thompson and Rotheray, 1998) followed him recognizing four tribes for Syrphinae: Bacchini, Toxomerini, Syrphini and Paragini.

Rotheray and Gilbert (1989) included the tribe Pipizini into subfamily Syrphinae using larval characters and recognized four other tribes (Bacchini, Paragini, Syrphini and Melanostomini). This paper was the first to use larval characters in a cladistic analysis to establish the classification of the Pipizini, prior to other papers estimating the placement of Pipizini using molecular data (Cheng et al., 2000; Ståhls et al., 2003). The present study does not aim to address the placement of Pipizini, but the tribe is included as additional taxa because of the similarity in larval feeding mode.

The aim of this study was to address the monophyly of the tribes within the subfamily Syrphinae, as well as the phylogenetic position of particular genera (*Allograpta*, *Paragus*, *Baccha*, *Ocyptamus*) using molecular characters. The mitochondrial protein-coding gene *cytochrome c oxidase subunit I* (COI) was chosen for

sequencing, as well as the nuclear *28S ribosomal RNA* gene because both have proved to be informative for species-level and genus-level analyses, as demonstrated in a large number of studies of insect evolutionary relationships (e.g., Ståhls and Nyblom, 2000; Caterino et al., 2001; Ståhls et al., 2003, 2004; Arevalo et al., 2004; Mengual et al., 2006; Ståhls, 2006; Brammer and Dohlen, 2007; Winterton et al., 2007). The present study is the most comprehensively sampled phylogenetic study of Syrphinae, and it is the first to use molecular characters for subfamily Syrphinae to address tribal relationships.

### Materials and methods

#### Taxa and characters

The taxon sampling covered as much taxonomic diversity as possible for Syrphinae. Most included species have Palaearctic or Holarctic distributions, but a fair number of samples of taxa from other biogeographical regions were also available or obtained for molecular work (Table 2). We also included representatives of the tribe Pipizini. *Volucella pellucens* (Syrphidae: Eristalinae: Volucellini) was chosen as the outgroup. Nomenclature follows mainly the Biosystematic Database of World Diptera for species name (Thompson, 2006).

Table 2  
Taxon sampling used in the molecular analysis, including GenBank accession numbers. Four tribes are recognized following Thompson and Rotheray (1998); genera are listed in alphabetical order

Taxon	Lab code	Label information	Accession no COI	Accession no 28S
<i>Bacchini</i>				
<i>Allobaccha sapphirina</i> (Wiedemann, 1830)	S87	Thailand, Chiang Mai, IV.2001 Leg.: D. Quicke & N. Laurence	EF127349	EF127430
<i>Allobaccha</i> sp. 1	S150	Tanzania, Amami Hills, 2001	EF127347	EF127428
<i>Allobaccha</i> sp. 2	S166	Malaysia, 2001.	EF127369	EF127449
<i>Argentinomyia</i> cf. <i>neotropica</i> (Curran, 1937)	Y208	Argentina, Jujuy prov., 36 km S Jujuy, Arroyo Las Lanzas; Malaise trap in wooded, damp wash. 24°27.25' S, 65°17.83' W, 1278 m, 27.X/14.XI.2003. Leg.: M.E. Irwin, F.D. Parker	EF127363	EF501963
<i>Argentinomyia</i> CR-12 Thompson, <i>in litt</i>	XP17	Costa Rica, Páramo, Cerro de la Muerte 14.I.2005. Leg.: F.C. Thompson	EF127296	EF127374
<i>Baccha elongata</i> (Fabricius, 1775)	Y242	Finland, Ta: Vesijako, VII.2004, Malaise trap Leg.: J. Jakovlev	EF127326	EF127407
<i>Leucopodella gracilis</i> (Williston, 1891)	S488	Costa Rica, PN Tapanti, 1600 m, 12.I.2005. Leg.: S. Rojo	EF127365	EF127444
<i>Leucopodella</i> sp.	S78	Brazil, 2000	EF127331	EF127412
<i>Melanostoma annulipes</i> (Macquart, 1842)	XP53	Madagascar, Fianarantsoa Prov., road from Valbio to Ranomafana. 25.XI.2004. Leg.: X. Mengual	EF127320	EF127399
<i>Melanostoma scalare</i> (Fabricius, 1794)	G324	Finland, ÅI: Åland islands, 10.VI.1999 Leg.: G. Ståhls	AY212799	EF127417
<i>Melanostoma</i> sp.	S564	The Netherlands, Leiden, Meijndel dune area, 5.IX.2005. Leg.: excursion participants	EF127322	EF127401
<i>Melanostoma univittatum</i> (Wiedemann, 1824)	XP47	Indonesia, Northern Sulawesi, Tumarates, Langowan [Lagoon], 1°08'N 124°50'E. 13/20. VIII.2004. Leg.: M. Meray, M.F. & C. Dien	EF127314	EF127393
<i>Platycheirus peltatus</i> (Meigen, 1822)	G245	Finland, N: Helsinki region, 11.VI.1998 Leg.: G. Ståhls	AY261706	AY261753
<i>Platycheirus albimanus</i> (Fabricius, 1781)	E38	Sweden, 2000. Leg.: J. van Steenis	EF127351	EF127432
<i>Platycheirus nickseni</i> Vockeroth, 1986	E36	Sweden, 2000. Leg.: J. van Steenis	EF127352	EF127433
<i>Pseudoplatycheirus glupovi</i> Barkalov <i>in litt</i>	S561	Russia, Altai, Plateau Ukook, VII.2005 Leg.: A.V. Barkalov	EF127317	EF127394
<i>Pyrophaena granditarsa</i> (Foster, 1771)	S563	The Netherlands, Leiden, IX. 2005 Leg.: J. van Steenis	EF127321	EF127400
<i>Rohdendorfia alpina</i> Sack, 1938	G344	Italy, South Tirol, Stelvio Pass, 28.VII.1999 Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127338	EF127420
<i>Rohdendorfia dimorpha</i> Smirnov, 1924	S562	Russia, Altai, Plateau Ukook, VII.2005 Leg.: A.V. Barkalov	–	EF127395
<i>Spazigaster ambulans</i> (Fabricius, 1798)	S158	Austria, Imst. Leg.: J. van Steenis	EF127350	EF127431
<i>Syrphocheilosia claviventris</i> (Strobl, 1910)	G327	Italy, South Tirol, Stelvio Pass, 28.VII.1999 Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127334	EF127415
<i>Xanthandrus</i> ( <i>Xanthandrus</i> ) <i>contius</i> (Harris, 1780)	S121	Greece, Lesbos island, IV.2001 Leg.: S. Rojo & C. Pérez (L2)	EF127340	EF127422

Table 2  
(Continued)

Taxon	Lab code	Label information	Accession no COI	Accession no 28S
<i>Xanthandrus (Xanthandrus) plaumanni</i> Fluke, 1937	XP32	Colombia, Cali, Cerro Las Brisas, 1970 m. 20.VIII.2004. Leg.: C. Prieto	EF127307	–
Paragimi				
<i>Paragus (Pandasyopthalmus) haemorrhous</i> Meigen, 1822	S48	Spain, Alicante, 2000. Leg.: S. Rojo	AY174470	AY476866
<i>Paragus (Paragus) pecchiotii</i> Rondani, 1857	S71	Montenegro, Durmitor, 26.VI.2000.	AY476844	AY476864
<i>Paragus (Paragus) bicolor</i> (Fabricius, 1794)	S108	Greece, Lesbos island, IV.2001 Leg.: S. Rojo & C. Pérez	AY476857	AY476873
<i>Paragus (Serratoparagus) crenulatus</i> Thomson, 1869	S62	Malaysia, Sabah, Danum Valley, VIII.1999	AY476862	AY476880
Syrphini				
<i>Allograpta (Allograpta) calopus</i> (Loew, 1858)	XP39	South Africa, Western Cape, Constantia 34°09'24" S 18°25'43" E. 260 m. 28.IX/06. X.2004. Leg.: M.E. Irwin, F. Parker & M. Hauser	EF127311	EF127390
<i>Allograpta (Allograpta) fasciata</i> Curran, 1932	S490	Costa Rica, PN Tapanti, 1600 m, 12.I.2005 Leg.: C. Pérez-Bañón	EF127366	EF127445
<i>Allograpta (Allograpta) obliqua</i> (Say, 1823)	XP38	USA, Utah, Garfield Co., Alvo Wash. 7 km S Escalante. 37°42.5'N 111°37.8'W. 1990 m. 29.VI.2002. Leg.: M.E. Irwin & F. Parker	EF127310	EF127389
<i>Allograpta (Allograpta) fuscotibialis</i> (Macquart, 1842)	XP37	South Africa, Western Cape, Constantia. 34°09'24" S 18°25'43" E. 260 m. 28.IX/ 06.X.2004. Leg.: M.E. Irwin, F. Parker & M. Hauser	EF127309	EF127388
<i>Allograpta (Fazia) centropogonis</i> Nishida, 2003	S492	Costa Rica, PN Tapanti, Estacion La Esperanza, 2600 m, 13.I.2005. Leg.: A. Martinez	EF127367	EF127447
<i>Allograpta (Fazia) sp. 1</i>	XP25	Colombia, Cali, Cerro San Antonio 2180 m. 20.VII.2004. Leg.: C. Prieto.	EF127300	EF127379
<i>Allograpta (Fazia) sp. 2</i>	S491	Costa Rica, PN Tapanti, 1600 M, 12.I.2005. Leg.: m. Zumbado	–	EF127446
<i>Allograpta (Allograpta) exotica</i> (Wiedemann, 1830)	XP35	USA, New Mexico, Chaves Sagebrush Valley Road at Squa Canyon road. 32° 57'N 104° 50'W. 1/10.V.2004. Leg.: M.E. Irwin & F. Parker	EF127308	EF127387
<i>Asarkina (Asarkina) ericetorum</i> (Fabricius, 1781)	S222	Kenya, Kakamega forest, 5.XII.1995, 0°17. 13'N 34°56.32'E, Leg.: Earthwatch Team 6	EF127353	EF127434
<i>Asarkina (Asarkina) fulva</i> Hull, 1941	XP51	Madagascar, Fianarantsoa Prov. Ranomafana N.P., Talatakely region, near the river. 19.XI.2004. Leg.: X. Mengual.	–	EF127397
<i>Chrysotoxum biceinctum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	G139	Finland, Ab: Korppoo, 1997. Leg.: A. Haarto	EF127370	–
<i>Chrysotoxum intermedium</i> Meigen, 1822	S17	Spain, Alicante, 1999. Leg.: S. Rojo	EF127327	EF127408
<i>Dasyphyllus albosriatus</i> (Fallén, 1817)	S565	The Netherlands, Leiden, Meijndel dune area, 5.IX.2005. Leg.: excursion participants	EF127323	EF127402

Table 2  
(Continued)

Taxon	Lab code	Label information	Accession no COI	Accession no 28S
<i>Dasytyrphus creper</i> (Snow, 1895)	S359	USA, Arizona, San Doval, La Cueva, 2002. Leg.: M. Hauser	EF127368	EF127448
<i>Dasytyrphus hilaris</i> (Zetterstedt, 1843)	Y7	Finland, N: Vantaa, Keimola, 05.VI.2003. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127362	EF127442
<i>Dasytyrphus lotus</i> (Williston, 1887)	XP23	Colombia, Cali, Cerro San Antonio. 2200 m. 25.VIII.2004. Leg.: C. Prieto	EF127298	EF127377
<i>Didea fuscipes</i> Loew, 1863	XP26	USA, MO: Carter Co. Van Burer, 4 mi. SE. Big Spring Campground. 15.IV.2004. Leg. J. & W. van Steenis.	EF127301	EF127380
<i>Didea intermedia</i> Loew, 1862	S90	Finland	EF127336	EF127418
<i>Dideoides coquilletii</i> (van der Goot, 1964)	XP8	Korea, Gangweon-do, Weonju-si. Maeji-ri, Yonsei Univ. Campus. 4.X.1999. Leg.: C.H. Park.	EF127293	EF127373
<i>Dideoopsis aegrota</i> (Fabricius, 1805)	S92	Australia. Leg.: J. Skevington	EF127333	EF127414
<i>Epistrophe melanostoma</i> (Zetterstedt, 1843)	S60	Finland, N: Keimola, 10.VI.2000. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127324	EF127405
<i>Epistrophe nitidicollis</i> (Meigen, 1822)	S61	Finland, Liesjärvi, 11.VI.2000. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127325	EF127406
<i>Epistrophehella euchroma</i> (Kowarz, 1885)	S559	Czech Republic, Bohemia PLA distr. Chrudim Hermanuv mestec, park, 3.VI.2005. Leg.: L. Mazanek	EF127315	EF501964
<i>Episyrrhus (Episyrrhus) balteatus</i> (De Geer, 1776)	G77	Finland, Ta: Valkeakoski, 10.VIII.1997. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127335	EF127416
<i>Episyrrhus (Episyrrhus)</i> <i>stuckenbergi</i> (Doesburg, 1957)	XP52	Madagascar, Fianarantsoa Prov. Road from Valbio to Ranomafana City. 22.XI.2004. Leg.: X. Mengual.	EF127319	EF127398
<i>Eriozona syrphoides</i> (Fallén, 1817)	Y184	Russia, Gornyi Altai, Turotshakskii r-kordon obogo, 950 m, 30.VI.2003. Leg.: Krolatsheva D	EF127358	EF127439
<i>Eupeodes (Lapposyrphus)</i> <i>lapponicus</i> (Zetterstedt, 1838)	S65	Czech Republic, Olomouc, Svaty Kopecek, 13.V.2000. Leg.: J. Mazanková	DQ158897	EF127403
<i>Eupeodes (Macrosyrphus) confrater</i> (Wiedemann, 1830)	Y101	Korea, Gyeongsangbuk-do, Yeongju Sunheung-myeon, 8.VI.2002. Leg.: D.S. Choi	EF127355	EF127436
<i>Eupeodes (Metasyrphus) fumipennis</i> (Thomson, 1869)	XP46	Canada, BC: Vancouver Island. Nanaimo; Morrel Sanct. 49°08'49" N 123°58'31" W, 140 m. 5.V.2005. Leg.: W. van Steenis.	EF127313	EF127392
<i>Eupeodes (Metasyrphus) lucasi</i> (Marcos-Garcia & Laska, 1983)	L13	Spain, Alicante. Leg.: S. Rojo	EF127337	EF127419
<i>Fagisyrrhus cinctus</i> (Fallén, 1817)	S512	Czech Republic, Jizerské Mountains, Rybí peat-bog, sq. 5158, 850 m, Malaise trap. 5/20.VIII.2003. Leg.: Preisler	EF127371	EF127450
<i>Leucozona (Ischyrosyrphus)</i> <i>glauca</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	XP5	Spain, Pyrenees, Aran Valley, nr. Arties, 1500 m, 1.VIII.2003. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127292	EF127372
<i>Leucozona (Leucozona) lucorum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	S139	Italy, South Tirol, Val Venosta, VII.2001. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127346	EF501965

Table 2  
(Continued)

Taxon	Lab code	Label information	Accession no COI	Accession no 28S
<i>Megasyrphus erraticus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Y183	Finland, Ab: Karislojo, Karkalinniemi, V.2004. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127357	EF127438
<i>Megasyrphus laxus</i> (Osten Sacken, 1875)	XP27	Canada, AB: Jasper NP, Valley o/t Five Lakes. 117°98'E 52°48'N. 27.VIII.2004. Leg.: W. van Steenis	EF127302	EF127381
<i>Melangyna (Melangyna)</i> <i>lasiophthalma</i> (Zetterstedt, 1843)	Y5	Finland, N: Mäntisälän Mustametsä, 10.V.2003. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127361	EF501966
<i>Melangyna (Melangyna)</i> <i>subfasciata</i> (Curran, 1925)	XP28	Canada, Kluane, Whitehorse Airport. 135°05'E 60°45'N. 4.VIII.2004. Leg.: W. van Steenis	EF127303	EF127382
<i>Meligramma triangulifera</i> (Zetterstedt, 1843)	S560	Czech Republic, Jizerské Mountains, Rybí loucky-peat-bog, sq. 5158, 850 m (malaise trap with alcohol), 5/20.VIII.2003. Leg.: Preisler	EF127316	EF501967
<i>Meligramma guttata</i> (Fallén, 1817)	Y478	Finland, Ab: Mietoinen, Perkkö, 6733:222, 21.VII.2004. Leg.: A. Haaarto	EF501960	EF501968
<i>Melisaeva auricollis</i> (Meigen, 1822)	S123	Greece, Lesbos island, IV.2001. Leg.: S. Rojo & C. Pérez	EF127341	EF127423
<i>Melisaeva cinctella</i> (Zetterstedt, 1843)	S557	Czech Republic, Bohemia, PLA Jezerske mountains, Korenov, 12.VI.2005. Leg.: L. Mazanek	EF501961	EF501969
<i>Ocyptamus (Hermesomyia)</i> <i>wulpiamus</i> (Lynch Arribalzaga, 1891)	Y121	Argentina, Jujuy prov., 36 km S Jujuy, Arroyo Las Lanzas; malaise trap in wooded, damp wash; 24°27.25'S 65°17.83'W. 1278 m, 27.X/14.XI.2003. Leg.: M.E. Irwin & F.D. Parker.	EF127356	EF127437
<i>Ocyptamus (Ocyptamus) antiphates</i> (Walker, 1849)	XP29	USA, FL: Monroe Co. Everglades NP: Mtazek Pond. 25°08.4'N 080°55.5'W. 1.I.2004. Leg.: W. van Steenis	EF127304	EF127383
<i>Ocyptamus (Ocyptamus)</i> <i>funebri</i> Macquart, 1834	S487	Costa Rica, Heredia, INBioparque, 15/21.I.2005, Malaise trap. Leg.: G. Ståhls and M. Zumbado	EF127364	EF127443
<i>Ocyptamus (Ocyptamus) fuscipennis</i> (Say, 1823)	XP15	USA, Florida, Johns CO. Anastasia isl. 29°43'N 81°15' W. 24.V.2002.	EF127294	EF501970
<i>Ocyptamus (Ocyptamus) sp.</i>	S143	Costa Rica, Volcan Arenal area, VIII.2001. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127345	EF127427
<i>Ocyptamus (Orphnabaccha) sp.</i>	XP24	Colombia, Cali, Cerro San Antonio. 2180 m. 20.VII.2004. Leg.: C. Prieto	EF127299	EF127378
<i>Ocyptamus lineatus</i> (Macquart, 1846)	XP30	USA, Florida, Monroe. Big Pink Key: Long Beach. 24°38.503'N 081°19.953'W 04.I.2004. Leg.: Stuke	EF127305	EF127384
<i>Ocyptamus melanorrhinus</i> (Philippi, 1865)	Y215	Chile, Region IV, Limari prov., Fundo Agua Amarilla, 7 km N Los Vilos; Malaise in stable dunes, 58 m, 31°50.96'S 71°29.60'W. 28.XII.2003/8.I.2004. Leg.: M.E. Irwin	EF127360	EF127441
<i>Parasyrphus lineolus</i> (Zetterstedt, 1843)	S137	Italy, South Tirol, Val Venosta, VII.2001. Leg.: G. Ståhls.	EF127342	EF127424

Table 2  
(Continued)

Taxon	Lab code	Label information	Accession no COI	Accession no 28S
<i>Pseudodoros (Dioprosopa) clavatus</i> (Fabricius, 1794)	S84	Cuba, La Habana, II.2001. Leg.: M.A. Marcos-García	EF127332	EF127413
<i>Salpingogaster (Eosalpingogaster) conopida</i> (Philippi, 1865)	Y214	Chile, Region IV, Limari prov., Fundo Agua Amarilla, 7 km N Los Vilos; Malaise in stable dunes, 58 m, 31°50.96'S 71°29.60'W. 28.XII.2003/8.I.2004. Leg.: M.E. Irwin	EF127359	EF127440
<i>Scavea (Scavea) pyrastris</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	S57	Spain, Alicante, 1999	EF127329	EF127410
<i>Scavea (Semiscaeva) selenitica</i> (Meigen, 1822)	S69	Czech Republic, distr. Ostrava, Polanecký les, 3.IV.2000. Leg.: T. Kuras.	AY603764	EF127404
<i>Scavea opimius</i> (Walker, 1852)	Y100	Korea, Gaeweon-do, Hongcheon-gun Nae-myeon, 6.VI.2000. Leg.: D.S. Choi	EF127354	EF127435
<i>Simosyrphus aegyptius</i> (Wiedemann, 1830)	XP48	Madagascar, Majunga Prov., Ampijoroa (Andranofasika) Nat. Park, hand net in deciduous forest. 46 M. 16°19.16'S 46°48.80'E. E MG25-23. 9/20.XI.2003. Leg.: M.E. Irwin, F. Parker & m. Hauser	EF127312	EF127391
<i>Simosyrphus scutellaris</i> (Fabricius, 1805)	S157	Hong Kong, Park, 7.X.2001. Leg.: D. Iliff	AY603768	EF127429
<i>Sphaerophoria (Sphaerophoria) loewi</i> Zetterstedt, 1843	S273	Sweden, Upplands-Bro, 15.VI.2002. Leg.: H. Bartsch	EF127318	EF127396
<i>Sphaerophoria (Sphaerophoria) macrogaster</i> (Thomson, 1869)	XP44	Australia, Jimbour Qld. 11.IX.2003. Leg. L.W. Smith. Det. L.W. Smith	–	EF501971
<i>Sphaerophoria (Sphaerophoria) rueppellii</i> (Wiedemann, 1830)	S12	Spain, Alicante, 1999	EF127328	EF127409
<i>Sphaerophoria (Sphaerophoria) scripta</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	G229	Finland, Loppi, 4.IX.1994, Leg.: G. Ståhls	AY261708	AY261755
<i>Syrphus opinator</i> Osten Sacken, 1877	XP34	Canada, BC: Vancouver Island. Nanaimo; Buttertub Marsh. 63 m, 49°10'25" N 123°58'03" W. 5.V.2005. Leg. W. van Steenis.	EF501962	EF127386
<i>Syrphus vitripennis</i> Meigen, 1822	S53	Greece, Lesbos island, IV.2001. Leg.: S. Rojo & C. Perez	AY212797	AY261728
<i>Xanthogramma flavipes</i> (Loew, 1863)	XP31	USA, NE: Cass Co. Louisville, Platte River SP. 19.V.2005. Leg.: W. van Steenis.	EF127306	EF127385
<i>Xanthogramma stackelbergi</i> Violovitsh, 1975	XP10	Finland, 667:10, AI: Näätö, Malaise trap nr. biol station, 30.VI/6.VII.2003, Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127295	–
Toxomerini				
<i>Toxomerus aeolus</i> (Hull, 1942)	XP20	Costa Rica, PN Tapantí. 1600 m, 12.I.2005. Leg.: X. Mengual	–	EF127376
<i>Toxomerus CR-3</i> Thompson, <i>in litt</i>	XP18	Costa Rica, PN Tapantí. 1600 m 12.I.2005. Leg.: F.C. Thompson	EF127297	EF127375
<i>Toxomerus marginatus</i> (Say, 1823)	S64	USA, New York, Geneva, VII.1999. Leg.: M. Schmaedick	AY261705	AY261752
<i>Toxomerus pictus</i> (Macquart, 1842)	S146	Costa Rica, Monte Verde, nr biol station, VIII.2001. Leg.: G. Ståhls	EF127344	EF127426

Table 2  
(Continued)

Taxon	Lab code	Label information	Accession no COI	Accession no 28S
<i>Toxomerus virgatus</i> (Macquart, 1850)	S79	Brazil, 2000	EF127330	EF127411
<i>Toxomerus watsoni</i> (Curran, 1930)	S145	Costa Rica, Monte Verde, forest nr biol station, VIII.2001. Leg.: G. Ståhl	EF127343	EF127425
Pipizini				
<i>Pipiza</i> sp.		Finland, Nousiainen	AY174459	AY261741
<i>Pipizella viduata</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	S169	Sweden, Björnlunda	AY261695	AY261742
<i>Trichopsomyia flavitarsis</i> (Meigen, 1822)		Sweden, Uppland	AY212798	AY261729
Outgroup				
<i>Volucella pellucens</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)		Germany, Wörlitz	AY261689	AY261735

### DNA manipulation

DNA was extracted from one to three legs or other parts of single individuals of either dry, pinned or ethanol preserved specimens using the NucleoSpin Tissue DNA Extraction kit (Machery-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following manufacturer's protocols and resuspended in 50 µL ultra-pure water. Male genitalia were conserved for the purpose of morphological studies and remnants of specimens are preserved as DNA voucher specimens, deposited at Finnish Museum of Natural History (MZH) and labeled as listed in Table 2. All sequences were submitted to GenBank, see Table 2 for accession numbers.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications for both gene fragments were carried out in 25 µL reactions containing 1–3 µL DNA extract, 1 µL of each primer (at 10 pmol/mL), 0.25 µL of Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/mL), 2 µL 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.5 µL 10 × Buffer II (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and 4 µL 200 mM dNTP (GeneAmp) and ultra-pure water. Thermocycler conditions were initial denaturing at 95 °C 2 min, 29 cycles of 30 s denaturing at 94 °C, 30 s annealing at 49 °C, 2 min extension at 72 °C, followed by a final extension of 8 min at 72 °C. The universally conserved primers used for amplifying and sequencing the *COI* and *28S* fragments are listed in Table 3. Generally, the *COI* fragment was amplified using the forward primer C1-S-1718 (alias Beet) and the reverse primer TL2-N-3014 (alias Pat). The homologous *COI* sequence fragment could also be obtained by using primer combinations C1-S-1718 + C1-N-2735 (alias Inger) and C1-J-2183 (alias Jerry) + TL2-N-3014 using the above PCR and sequencing conditions (see Table 3). The D2-3 region of the nuclear *28S rRNA* gene was amplified with the primers (Table 3) and PCR profiles described in Belshaw and Quicke (1997) and Campbell et al. (1993) (see also Laurenne et al., 2006). PCR products were purified using the GFX PCR Purification Kit (Amersham Biotech, Little Chalfont, UK). Amplified PCR was checked for size and products (bands) running 4 µL on a 1% agarose gel and then sequenced (with the PCR primers) in both directions using the Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit versus 1.1 (Applied Biosystems) at one-fourth of the recommended volumes on an ABI PRISM 377 (Applied Biosystems) sequencer. The sequences were inspected and edited for base-calling errors and assembled using Sequence Navigator™ (version 1.01, Applied Biosystems).

### Phylogenetic analysis

The analysis used 102 terminal taxa. The full data set included three data partitions, two for the mitochondrial

Table 3  
Primers used for amplifying and sequencing the COI and 28S fragments.

	Primer	Sequence	Source
COI	C1-J-2183 (Jerry)	5'-CAACATTTATTTTGGATTGTTGG-3'	Simon et al. (1994)
	C1-S-1718 (Beet)	5'-GGAGGATTTGGAATTGATTAGTTCC-3'	Simon et al. (1994)
	TL2-N-3014 (Pat)	5'-TCCAATGCACTAATCTGCCATATTA-3'	Simon et al. (1994)
	C1-N-2735 (Inger)	5'-AAAATGTTGAGGGAAAAAATGTTA-3'	Lunt et al. (1996)
28S	28Sforward (F2)	5'-AGAGAGAGAGTTCAAGAGTACGTG-3'	Belshaw et al. (2001)
	28Sreverse (3DR)	5'-TAGTTCACCATCTTTCGGGTC-3'	Belshaw et al. (2001)

COI gene and one for the nuclear D2-3 28S rRNA gene region. The 28S sequences were not aligned prior to analysis.

#### Direct optimization

The combined molecular data were analyzed using direct optimization (optimization alignment), a method described by Wheeler (1996) and implemented in the computer program POY (Gladstein and Wheeler, 1996–2000). POY was also used for calculation of Bremer support (Bremer, 1988, 1994) values.

Direct optimization searches for the shortest tree using unaligned sequences as input (which may be of unequal length) (Wheeler, 1996; Schulmeister et al., 2002; Wheeler et al., 2006). The optimization algorithm incorporates indels as events in the procedure in addition to base transformations. This allows searching for the shortest tree, the one that best explains the observed data, without the intermediate step of producing a multiple alignment (Aagesen, 2005). Direct optimization provides heuristics to determine the cost of optimizing the unaligned sequences on a tree and, furthermore, incorporates heuristic search strategies known from other phylogenetic tree search programs when searching for the optimal tree, which itself is an NP-complete problem (De Laet and Wheeler, 2003; Wheeler et al., 2006). With this approach, direct optimization intends to overcome some of the drawbacks of the two-step approach, multiple sequence alignment followed by phylogenetic analysis, which is commonly used in phylogenetic analysis. The method has been applied in several recent studies (e.g., Frost et al., 2001; Giribet et al., 2001; Sanchis et al., 2001; Meier and Wiegmann, 2002; Ståhls et al., 2003; Bybee et al., 2004; Laurene et al., 2006).

The parsimony analyses were run using a parallelized version of the program POY version 3.0.11 on a 19-node Beowulf cluster with 2.4 GHz processors employing Scyld unix and parallel virtual machine (PVM) software at the Finnish Museum of Natural History, Helsinki, Finland. The following string of commands was used: `-norandomizeoutgroup -holdmaxtrees 25 -maxtrees 5 -nodiscrepancies -fitchtrees -replicates 100 -buildsperreplicate 5 -stopat 10 -minstop 15 -seed -1 -slop 2`

`-checkslop 5 -drifftbr -numdrifftbr 5 -treefuse -fuselimit 25 -impliedalignment -indices -noleading`. The analyses were performed using equal weights [gap cost = 1, change cost = 1] (see Frost et al., 2001 and Grant and Kluge, 2003 for a discussion). The trees in parenthetical notation were converted into a strict consensus tree with the program JACK2HEN (available at <http://www.cladistics.com>).

## Results

### Data

Representatives of 17 genera currently classified into Syrphinae are lacking in our analysis, and most of these are very rare, monotypical or with few species described (see Table 1). Ninety-eight species were finally analyzed as ingroup, representing 40 of 57 genera (48 of 81 subgenera) of the four recognized tribes of Syrphinae, and several species of the same genus were analyzed when it was possible. Representatives of three of five genera of the tribe Pipizini were also included (see Table 2).

The mitochondrial COI data set comprised 1128 nucleotide characters for 78 ingroup taxa included in phylogenetic analysis and, a partial COI sequence (between 638 and 972 nucleotides) was obtained for 15 ingroup taxa. A total of 446 nucleotide sites were parsimony informative. COI of only five taxa [*Asarkina fulva* (XP51), *Toxomerus aeolus* (XP20), *Rohdendorfia dimorpha* (S562), *Allograpta (Fazia)* sp. 2 (S491) and *Sphaerophoria macrogaster* (XP44)] could not be amplified. The mean AT content of the COI sequences was 71.81%. The uncorrected pairwise sequence divergences for the COI gene between the outgroup *Volucella pellucens* and all ingroup taxa ranged from 10.55 to 21.88% (mean 12.22%). The highest intergeneric uncorrected pairwise divergence among ingroup taxa was 15.28% between *Baccha elongata* (Y242) and *Ocyptamus wulpianus* (Y121), and the lowest values were 4.08% between *Spazigaster ambulans* (S158) and *Syrphocheilosia claviventris* (G327). Between congeneric species, uncorrected pairwise distances varied from




Fig. 1. Most parsimonious tree inferred from sequences of COI and 28S (length = 6603 steps). Current tribal classification is indicated on the tree. Bremer support values are indicated above branches. Number nodes are below branches.

0.15% between *Simosyrphus aegyptius* (XP48) and *Simosyrphus scutellaris* (S157) to 13.59%, between *Ocyptamus wulpianus* (Y121) and *Ocyptamus fuscipennis* (XP15). The obtained ribosomal D2–3 region of 28S rRNA gene was sequenced for 95 ingroup taxa and comprised 573–601 nucleotides sites. *Chrysotoxum bicinctum* (G139), *Xanthogramma stackelbergi* (XP10) and *Xanthandrus plaumanni* (XP32) could not be amplified.

#### Phylogenetic analysis

The parsimony analysis using equal weighting values (1 : 1) resulted in one most parsimonious tree (length = 6603 steps), shown in Fig. 1. During the course of the study, DNA sequences of particular taxa were added to the matrix when these became available for molecular work. Thereby the parsimony analysis was repeated multiple times with an ever-growing taxon set (17 analyses in total). During this endeavor, the placements of Pipizini, *Baccha*, *Leucopodella* and *Pyrophaena* were unstable. Certain parts of the topology remained stable in succeeding analyses, as the clade with most of Syrphini and the topology of the clade with syrphines and New World genera (nodes 14, 8 and 15). Nodes are labeled with reference numbers to easily locate particular clades.

Pipizini was resolved as the sister group of the genus *Baccha* in the present analysis.

*Xanthandrus*, *Argentinomyia* and *Melanostoma* form a separate clade (node 2). The tribe Melanostomini *sensu* Hull (1949a,b) comprises all these genera plus the members of the other two resolved clades (nodes 4 and 5), which include *Platycheirus*, *Syrphocheilosia*, *Rohdendorfia* and *Pyrophaena* (*Spazigaster* was considered Bacchini by Hull). The genus *Baccha*, the type genus of the tribe Bacchini, appears alone as the sister group of the tribe Pipizini (node 6).

Node 8 was recovered in all analyses, and comprises two-thirds of all taxa that traditionally were placed in the tribe Syrphini, with the exception of some genera that were resolved in other clades of the tree (nodes 16, 27 and 29). Several clades appear inside Syrphini and genus *Leucozonia* is resolved as the sister group. One clade relates *Eriozona*, *Didea*, *Dideoopsis*, *Dideoides* and *Megasyrphus*. Genera *Chrysotoxum* and *Epistrophe* are resolved in the same clade of *Epistrophella* and *Xanthogramma*. In the other main group (node 11), *Melangyna*, *Fagisyrphus*, *Meligramma* and *Dasysyrphus* are members of a clade related to *Syrphus Parasyrphus*, *Eupeodes*, *Pseudodoros*, *Simosyrphus* and *Scaeva*.

Node 15 delimitates a clade formed by the tribes Toxomerini and Paragini with some genera traditionally included in Syrphini, i.e., *Asarkina*, *Episyrphus*, *Meliscaeva*, *Allograpta*, *Sphaerophoria* and *Ocyptamus*, plus two genera traditionally placed in Bacchini, *Allobaccha* and *Salpingogaster* (Shannon, 1921, 1922, 1923;

Hull, 1949a,b; Goffe, 1952; Wirth et al., 1965; Shatalkin, 1975). This is the first time that these relationships are reported whether using morphological or molecular characters. Our results consistently resolved a clade never suggested before, formed by the monophyletic tribe Toxomerini and other New World genera as *Ocyptamus* and *Salpingogaster* (*Eosalpingogaster*) (node 29). The tribe Paragini was resolved as monophyletic, and related to the genus *Allobaccha* (node 26), this clade being the sister group of the previous. On the other hand, *Asarkina* + *Episyrphus* + *Meliscaeva* are members of a clade (node 17) always recovered in prior analyses, here placed as the sister group of *Allograpta* + *Sphaerophoria* (node 19). Representatives of only two subgenera of *Allograpta* (*Allograpta* s.s. and *Fazia*) could be included in the present study. Both subgenera are resolved as related with *Sphaerophoria*.

#### Discussion

We discuss our results following the present classification of Syrphinae using tribes (in alphabetical order).

##### Tribe Bacchini

The tribe Bacchini comprises 13 genera with world wide distribution, and 18 subgenera are recognized (see Table 1). In the present analysis, representatives of 11 genera (11 subgenera) were included.

Different authors have either combined the tribes Melanostomini and Bacchini or treated them as separate, and the taxonomic concept of the tribes has varied among authors. Williston (1887) divided Melanostomini and Bacchini based on adult morphological characters. Hull (1949a) recognized the tribe Bacchini formed by *Baccha* (a complex group of species from different genera with many synonyms under the name *Baccha* as subgenera), *Rhinoprosopa*, *Salpingogaster* and *Rhinobaccha*. Genera *Melanostoma*, *Xanthandrus*, *Tuberculanostoma* and *Platycheirus* were the members of Melanostomini for Hull (1949a), and close to them there was *Rohdendorfia*. *Argentinomyia* had an inconsistent position; Hull discussed a placement allied to *Paragus* or close to *Chrysotoxum*. Goffe (1952) put Bacchini as a subtribe under Sphaerophorini and did the same with Melanostomini, under the tribe Stenosyrphini. Vockeroth (1969) discussed the tribe Bacchini as being a traditional artificial aggregation of forms of diverse origins that have independently developed a petiolate abdomen, together with other forms with parallel-sided abdomens. Hence, he suggested that to transfer some genera from Bacchini to Syrphini would result in a remaining natural group for Bacchini. He also discussed a classification with a union of the two tribes or perhaps a division of Bacchini into several tribes, as

for him this tribe was a non-natural group. A brief scheme since the work of Wirth et al. (1965) is given in Rotheray and Gilbert (1989), who considered *Baccha*, *Sphaerophoria*, *Platycheirus* and *Pyrophaena* as members of Bacchini, while Melanostomini was formed only by *Melanostoma* and *Xanthandrus*. Vockeroth (1969) followed Wirth et al. (1965) considering Bacchini as a monogeneric tribe, and Melanostomini comprised the other genera listed above. Dusek and Laska (1967) included all of them under Melanostomini, but presently the classification of the World Catalogues includes all of them under the name Bacchini.

Our results resolved Bacchini in five clades. Genus *Leucopodella* appears resolved alone. In previous analyses, this genus was resolved in the same clade with *Xanthandrus*, *Argentinomyia* and *Melanostoma*. One group is formed by *Xanthandrus*, *Argentinomyia* and *Melanostoma* (node 2). This group was represented in the larval analyses of Rotheray and Gilbert (1989, 1999) by *Melanostoma* and *Xanthandrus*, which, in their analysis, were isolated from the other genera of the “traditional” Bacchini by the tribe Syrphini. These authors suggested a tribe Melanostomini with *Melanostoma* and *Xanthandrus* as Palaearctic members.

The third group inside Bacchini is *Platycheirus*, which is resolved in node 4 with *Pseudoplatycheirus*. These genera were resolved as the sister group of the next clade in most of the prior analyses. The fourth clade of Bacchini supported by molecular evidence (node 5) is formed by genera *Pyrophaena*, *Rohdendorfia*, *Syrphocheilosia* and *Spazigaster*. Genus *Pyrophaena*, like *Syrphocheilosia*, *Pseudoplatycheirus*, *Spazigaster* and *Rohdendorfia*, were treated as subgenera of *Platycheirus* by some authors (Hull, 1949a; Vockeroth and Thompson, 1987; Thompson and Vockeroth, 1989; Thompson and Rotheray, 1998; Thompson, 2006) and others treated it as a separate genus (Williston, 1887; Shannon, 1921, 1922, 1923; Goffe, 1952; Wirth et al., 1965; Dusek and Laska, 1967; Shatalkin, 1975; Rotheray and Gilbert, 1989, 1999). Molecular evidence places *Pyrophaena* close to the other traditional subgenera of *Platycheirus* except *Pseudoplatycheirus*, a hypothesis supported also by larval characters (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1999). Shatalkin (1975) also mentioned some differences in the lobes of the surstyli comparing *Pyrophaena* with *Platycheirus*. *Pseudoplatycheirus* and *Platycheirus* were resolved as the sister groups, but differ in some morphological characters as pilosity of the eyes and dichoptic males.

Our results place *Baccha* in an isolated position (node 6), as the sister group of the tribe Pipizini. We used *Baccha elongata* (Fabricius), type species of the genus, in the analyses. Characters from larvae (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1989, 1999) suggested a closer relationship between *Baccha*, *Allograpta* and *Sphaero-*

*phoria* that is not supported by molecular characters. Present results support a monogeneric tribe for *Baccha*, as suggested already by Wirth et al. (1965) and Vockeroth (1969).

The relationships here reported for traditional Bacchini were discussed by Shatalkin (1975) using characters of the male genitalia. Shatalkin suggested two subtribes for Melanostomini (*Melanostomia* and *Platycheirina*) and placed *Baccha* and related genera in another tribe, the Bacchini. *Leucopodella*, *Melanostoma*, *Tuberculanostoma* and *Xanthandrus* were the members of *Melanostomia*, and five genera, *Platycheirus*, *Pseudoplatycheirus*, *Pyrophaena*, *Rohdendorfia* and *Spathiogaster* (= *Spazigaster*), composed the subtribe *Platycheirina*. Our results are remarkably similar to those proposed by Shatalkin.

#### Tribe Paragini

Paragini, with the single genus *Paragus*, is a compact and distinctive group (as suggested by Verrall, 1901) occurring in all continents other than South America and Antarctica. Shannon (1921, 1922, 1923), Wirth et al. (1965), Dusek and Laska (1967) and other authors recognize this tribe as a natural group, based on morphological characters of the adults and some exclusive features of the male genitalia. Goffe (1952) recognized *Paragus* as a subtribe under Syrphini, indicating a relationship between these two groups. Dusek and Laska (1967) did not comment on the sister group of *Paragus* but mentioned that the genera *Didea* and *Paragus* share some characters of the adult morphology and male genitalia and they placed Paragini as one of the first branches of Syrphinae, commonly called basal clades. Shatalkin (1975) said that Paragini was a tribe aberrant in relation to all other tribes of the subfamily based on characters of the male genitalia. Using larval characters, Rotheray and Gilbert (1989) resolved Paragini as the sister group of Pipizini, supporting the conclusion made by Verrall (1901) and placing *Paragus* again as a “basal” clade in the evolution of the subfamily. Later on, *Paragus* was placed in a derived position close to *Scaeva* and *Eupeodes*, taxa that are high specialized aphid-feeder (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1999).

Although there are recent studies about the intragenetic classification of *Paragus* (Kassebeer, 1999a,b, 2001; Rojo et al., 2006; Vujic et al., 2008), the placement of this tribe in the subfamily Syrphinae is unresolved as previous results disagree. Molecular evidence resolved Paragini as monophyletic (node 28) and placed it as the sister group of *Allobaccha* (node 26), a position never suggested before. Interestingly, the relationship of Paragini and Syrphini suggested by Goffe (1952) is supported by our results. However, Paragini represents a large radiation (more than 100 species described) and

more taxa must be included in the analysis to stabilize its phylogenetic position.

#### Tribe Syrphini: part 1

Syrphini are well spread over the world and this tribe comprises 42 genera, classified into 59 different subgenera (see Table 1). In the present analysis, 27 genera representing 33 subgenera are included. We divided this tribe into two groups for discussion: part 1, includes the members of Syrphini resolved in node 8, and part 2, genera of Syrphini resolved above node 15.

Our results suggest two major groups for Syrphini *sensu stricto* (nodes 9 and 12) and genus *Leucozonia*, with *Ischyrosyrphus* as subgenus, as the sister group of them (node 8). In node 9, two clades appear in the results grouping *Dideoides*, *Eriozona*, *Dideoopsis*, *Megasyrphus* and *Didea* (node 10). In the other clade (node 11), *Epistrophella* and *Xanthogramma* were resolved related with *Chrysotoxum* and *Epistrophe*. Genus *Melangyna* was resolved as the sister group of two other clades of Syrphini genera (see node 12). One of these clades is formed by genera *Fagisyrrhus*, *Meligramma* and *Dasysyrphus*. Related with them, in the node 13, appears another clade where *Parasyrphus*, *Syrphus*, *Eupeodes* (subgenera *Macrosyrphus*, *Metasyrphus* and *Lapposyrphus*), *Pseudodoros*, *Simosyrphus* and *Scaeva* are the members.

Dusek and Laska (1967) considered *Ischyrosyrphus* as a separate genus, but Hippa (1968) suggested a close relationship of *Eriozona* and *Leucozonia*, and placed *Ischyrosyrphus* under *Leucozonia*. Vockeroth (1969) remarked that the closest relative of *Ischyrosyrphus* is undoubtedly *Leucozonia*. In our studies, *Ischyrosyrphus* was always recovered as the sister group to *Leucozonia*, confirming the close relationship between them. Uncorrected pairwise distance between *L. lucorum* (S139) and *L. (Ischyrosyrphus) glauca* (XP5) is 7.01%.

Node 10 is formed by genera *Megasyrphus*, *Didea*, *Dideoides*, *Eriozona* and *Dideoopsis*. *Megasyrphus* was erected as a new genus by Dusek and Laska (1967). As indicated by these authors and Vockeroth (1969), *Megasyrphus* resembles *Didea* with similarities in body shape and abdominal pattern, while male genitalia characters are similar to *Eriozona*. In the late 1980s, Rotheray and Gilbert (1989) suggested a closer relationship to *Didea* and proposed to synonymize *Megasyrphus* under *Didea*. Vockeroth (1992) did not accept the synonymy due to adult morphological differences. Thompson and Rotheray (1998) placed *Megasyrphus* as a subgenus of *Eriozona* in the Manual of Palaearctic Diptera in his identification based on adult morphology. The present results support a sister relationship of *Didea* and *Megasyrphus*, in congruence with larval characters. Uncorrected pairwise divergence between the two included species of *Didea* was 3.87% and 2.84% for *Megasyrphus*.

Between both genera, uncorrected pairwise distances varied from 7% (*D. intermedia* and *M. laxus*) and 7.48% (*D. fuscipes* and *M. laxus*). *Eriozona* was resolved as the sister group of *Dideoides*, a placement not suggested earlier, and related with *Dideoopsis*.

In the late 1960s, *Chrysotoxum* was considered a monogeneric tribe by Vockeroth (1969), but later he placed it into Syrphini (Vockeroth, 1992). The present results show *Chrysotoxum* as part of the clade of *Xanthogramma* + *Epistrophe* (node 11), thus supporting Vockeroth.

*Epistrophe* is a very diverse genus in adult morphology. Although the adults are similar to *Syrphus*, they have completely different larval morphology. The cladistic analyses of Rotheray and Gilbert (1989) placed *Epistrophe* with *Epistrophella*, and *Meligramma* together with *Parasyrphus* or with *Xanthogramma* and *Doros* (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1999). Fluke (1950) transferred species from *Epistrophe* to *Stenosyrphus* (junior synonym of *Melangyna*) and placed *Epistrophe* as subgenus of *Syrphus*. Wirth et al. (1965) recognized *Epistrophe* as a separate genus from *Stenosyrphus*. Dusek and Laska (1967) followed Wirth et al. (1965) and created a new genus for *Syrphus euchromus* Kowarz, 1885, *Epistrophella*. Vockeroth (1969) indicated the unusual variation in thoracic and abdominal markings of *Epistrophe* and suggested to use the two subgenera: *Epistrophe* s.s. and *Epistrophella*. The analyses of larval morphology guided Rotheray and Gilbert to synonymize *Epistrophella* under *Meligramma*.

Our results suggest that *Epistrophella* is close to *Xanthogramma*, in agreement with larval evidence (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1999), close to the clade of *Epistrophe* (node 11) but not placed in the same clade with *Meligramma*. Present analyses also indicate that *Allograptia* is not related with *Epistrophe* as suggested by Hull (1949a) who could not find any valid distinctions except upon the abdominal pattern, and the relationship between *Meligramma* and *Epistrophe* is not close as larval evidence suggests.

The position of *Xanthogramma* as the sister group of *Epistrophella* is not consistent with larval evidence, that placed *Xanthogramma* as the sister group of all the rest of Syrphini (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1989) or as the sister group of the genus *Doros* (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1999). Unfortunately, *Doros* was not available for this study to test this relationship.

*Fagisyrrhus*, *Meligramma* and *Dasysyrphus* have always placed close to *Syrphus*, sometimes as the sister groups. The four species of *Dasysyrphus* used in the analysis are resolved together, although the genus shows a great variation in male genitalia and larval characters. *Meligramma* was reduced to a subgenus of *Melangyna* by Vockeroth (1969) and World Catalogues followed him, but larval characters did not support a sister group relationship between them, a result our present results

support. Thus, molecules and larval evidence support results of Dusek and Laska (1967) in giving *Meligramma* full generic status.

Torp (1984, 1994) followed Dusek and Laska (1967) and treated *Fagisyrrhus* in a separate genus, but Rotheray and Gilbert (1989) proposed to synonymize *Fagisyrrhus* under *Melangyna* based on shared larval characters, they did not use this synonymy in the later analysis of 1999. Our results support Dusek and Laska (1967) resolving *Fagisyrrhus* as sister to *Meligramma*. In our analyses *Melangyna* (node 12) was resolved separately from *Meligramma* and *Fagisyrrhus* (node 13), contradicting the larval evidence (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1989).

*Parasyrrhus* was described by Matsumura and Adachi in (1917) as subgenus of *Syrphus*. In the late 1960s, Vockeroth (1969) included *Parasyrrhus* in his “unrecognized genera” and commented that Dusek and Laska (1967) used the name *Mesosyrrhus* for the genus that he called *Phalacrodira*. Years later, *Mesosyrrhus sensu* Dusek and Laska (1967) was synonymized as a junior synonym of *Melangyna* and *Phalacrodira* as a junior synonym of *Parasyrrhus* by Vockeroth (1973b). *Mesosyrrhus* based on *Mesosyrrhus constrictus* Matsumura (1917) was considered synonym of *Melangyna*. Vockeroth (1969) suggested a close relationship between *Phalacrodira* (= *Parasyrrhus*) and *Melangyna* that the analyses using molecular characters do not support. Rotheray and Gilbert (1989) concluded that *Parasyrrhus* was the sister group of *Epistrophe*, *Epistrophella* and *Meligramma*, but 10 years later (Rotheray and Gilbert, 1999) the position of *Parasyrrhus* was completely changed and it appeared between *Fagisyrrhus* and the group formed by *Meliscaeva*, *Episyrrhus* and *Betasyrrhus*. Current results of our analyses placed *Syrphus* as the sister group of *Parasyrrhus*. These are new results for the placements of these genera.

Vockeroth (1969) synonymized *Metasyrrhus* with *Eupeodes* on the bases of similarity of male genitalia, wing microtrichia and almost indistinguishable females. At the same time, he placed *Lapposyrphus* Dusek and Laska, (1967) as a subgenus of *Eupeodes*. Our results support *Eupeodes* (*Macrosyrrhus*) as related with *Eupeodes*. Present results reveal *Lapposyrphus* as a separate genus, placed in the same clade as *Eupeodes*. Thus, *Lapposyrphus* is suggested as good genus and it appears as the sister group of *Pseudodoros* + *Simosyrphus* + *Scaeva*. The relationship of *Scaeva* with *Simosyrphus* and *Ischiodon* has been recently published (Laska et al., 2006) but based on larval characters. In this paper the authors synonymized *Ischiodon* with *Simosyrphus*. Rotheray and Gilbert (1999) using larval characters also revealed a group formed by *Eupeodes*, *Scaeva* and *Ischiodon*. The two species of *Simosyrphus* used in the present analysis

belonged to *Ischiodon* before the synonymization made by Laska et al. (2006).

*Pseudodoros* is a genus comprising four species (Kassebeer, 2000), divided in two subgenera, *Dioprosopa* (that occurs in Neotropical and Nearctic regions) and *Pseudodoros* (in Palaearctic and Afrotropical regions). No previous cladistic hypotheses about its phylogenetic placement exist. Our results placed *Pseudodoros clavatus* into Syrphini as the sister group of *Scaeva* + *Simosyrphus*.

#### Tribe Syrphini: part 2

In this part of the discussion, genera of Syrphini resolved above node 15 are treated. These genera are grouped in two clades from nodes 16 and 25.

Node 16 is the base for two clades: (*Asarkina* + *Episyrrhus* + *Meliscaeva*) and (*Allograptia* + *Sphaerophoria*). The relationship between *Episyrrhus* and *Meliscaeva* was reported from larval characters by Rotheray and Gilbert (1989, 1999). Goeldin de Tiefenau (1974) considered *Meliscaeva* and *Episyrrhus* synonyms based on larval characters. Dusek and Laska (1967) and Hippha (1968) agreed in that the placement of *Episyrrhus* should be close to *Megasyrphus* and *Eriozona*, but Hippha added that *Episyrrhus* resembles the genus *Allograptia* and included them (*Episyrrhus*, *Megasyrphus* and *Allograptia*) in a suprageneric group based on characters of the male genitalia. Vockeroth (1969) affirmed that *Episyrrhus* “is almost certainly closely related to *Meliscaeva*, the only other genus consistently with discrete black sclerotized dots along the posterior wing margin”. Later on, Ghorpade (1981) described a new species, *Episyrrhus meliscaevoides* (= *E. stuckenbergi* Thompson, 2006), from Madagascar and pointed out that this new species shows morphological characters intermediate between the closely related genera *Episyrrhus* and *Meliscaeva*. Our results placed this taxon as sister to *E. balteatus*.

Larval characters and adult morphology related above genera with *Allograptia*, a hypothesis also supported by our results which place them as the sister group of *Allograptia* + *Sphaerophoria* (node 19). Shatkin (1975) created a tribe called Dideini formed by *Didea*, *Eriozona*, *Megasyrphus*, *Asarkina*, *Episyrrhus* and *Meliscaeva*. For him, the first three genera undoubtedly constituted a natural group, and *Episyrrhus* and *Meliscaeva* were distinct and closely related.

Goffe (1952) created a tribe called Sphaerophorini, which was divided into two subtribes, Bacchina and Sphaerophorina, indicating the close relationship of both genera groups. In a larval study by Rotheray and Gilbert (1989), and with the absence of *Allograptia*, *Baccha* was placed as the sister group of *Sphaerophoria*. Ten years later, Rotheray and Gilbert (1999) revealed a clade formed by *Baccha* as the sister

group of *Allograpta* and *Sphaerophoria*. Our results do not support the relation of *Baccha* with *Allograpta* + *Sphaerophoria*.

Molecular evidence resolved genus *Sphaerophoria* into *Allograpta* (see node 24). The subgenus *Allograpta* s.s. is divided in two clades (nodes 20 and 23), indicating a sister relationship of a group of species and genus *Sphaerophoria* (node 22). Present results resolved subgenus *Fazia* in another branch (node 18) with high Bremer support forming an independent clade from *Allograpta* s.s. + *Sphaerophoria*, which could question the validity of the subgeneric rank.

The relationship between *Allograpta* and *Sphaerophoria* is intriguing but not surprising, as some authors have previously presented some data that support this relationship. Vockeroth (1969) commented that *Allograpta* and *Ocyptamus* (his *Orphnabaccha*) “are the most varied in the tribe Syrphini”. He listed four morphological characters (p. 128) to distinguish *Allograpta* from all other genera except *Sphaerophoria* and *Exallandra*. Shatalkin (1975) concluded that *Allograpta* and *Sphaerophoria* are closely connected with the tribe Bacchini. Shatalkin thought that this similarity has arisen convergently due to the location of *Allograpta* in the southern hemisphere and *Sphaerophoria* in the Holarctic region (with some species in the Oriental region).

Node 25 defines two clades: tribe Paragini with *Allobaccha*, and, on the other hand, genera *Ocyptamus*, *Salpingogaster* and *Toxomerus*. *Allobaccha* was established as a subgenus of *Baccha* by Curran (1928) and Hull (1949b) followed his consideration. Shatalkin (1975) associated *Allobaccha* with *Baccha* and with the species of his tribe Dideini (genera *Didea*, *Eriozona*, *Megasyrphus*, *Asarkina*, *Episyrphus* and *Meliscaeva*) on the basis of characters of the male genitalia. Smith and Vockeroth, (1980) included *Allobaccha* into the tribe Bacchini, but later Thompson and Vockeroth (1989) placed this genus in the tribe Syrphini. Molecular evidence of this study agrees in part with the results of Shatalkin, indicating a relationship (node 15) of *Allobaccha* and a part of the Shatalkin’s Dideini (*Asarkina*, *Episyrphus* and *Meliscaeva*), but both have other sister groups.

The included representatives of the genera *Ocyptamus*, *Salpingogaster* and the tribe *Toxomerini* were resolved together at node 29. The species of these genera occur mainly in the Neotropical region, with some species extending their distribution into the Nearctic region. In 1969, Vockeroth pointed out that the Neotropical Syrphini are composed almost entirely of one medium-sized genus and another very large genus, both extremely diverse, and both divided by previous workers into a number of genera. These two genera are *Allograpta* and *Orphnabaccha* (= *Ocyptamus*). He created two new genera, *Pseudoscaeva* and *Hermesomyia*, but Thompson et al. (1976) treats these as synonyms or subgenera of *Ocyptamus*.

*Ocyptamus* is a very large genus, endemic to the New World, and has over 300 species in the Neotropical and 22 in the Nearctic region (Thompson, 1999; Rotheray et al., 2000). Although Vockeroth (1969) stated that no well-defined subgroups could be established, Thompson and Zumbado (2000) noted the putative existence of some subgenera, all of which are not represented in this study due to the difficulty of obtaining specimens. *Ocyptamus wulpianus* has different larval feeding mode than those of the *O. funebris* clade (node 31), which are mainly aphidophagous. *O. wulpianus* (= *Hermesomyia bacchiformis*) is a predator of insect larvae in bromeliads (Rotheray et al., 2000) and is resolved as sister of *O. lineatus* (node 30), with unknown larval feeding mode. These taxa are placed as the sister group of the remaining species of *Ocyptamus*, *Salpingogaster* and the tribe *Toxomerini*.

The placement of the single included species of *Salpingogaster* (*Eosalpingogaster*) is a novelty (node 32) as it has previously been placed in the tribe Bacchini by earlier authors. In the present study it appears as the sister group of one species of *Ocyptamus*, *O. melanorhinus*, forming together the sister group of *Toxomerini*. *Eosalpingogaster* mainly feeds on scale insects (Dactylopiidae) and Coccidae (Rojo et al., 2003). Shatalkin (1975) found many data that indicated the close connection between *Salpingogaster* and *Ocyptamus*, but both genera remained in Bacchini.

#### Tribe *Toxomerini*

*Toxomerini* was resolved as a monophyletic group within *Ocyptamus* (node 33). This monogeneric tribe has about 150 described species (Metz and Thompson, 2001) and it is the tribe of Syrphinae with the most restricted distribution, occurring only in the New World. *Allograpta* and *Ocyptamus* comprise morphologically diagnosable groups that could be divided into subgenera (Thompson, pers. comm.), but more species of *Toxomerus* need to be studied to suggest a subdivision of this genus. Shatalkin (1975) examined *Toxomerini* and suggested a connection between this tribe and the genus *Ocyptamus*. Vockeroth (1969) did not find any characters in the male genitalia to suggest that *Toxomerus* is a natural group distinct from *Mesograpta* (= *Toxomerus* Thompson et al., 1976) and recommended the inclusion of several genera erected by Enderlein (1938) in *Toxomerus*. The analyses of molecular characters support the relation that Shatalkin suggested using characters of the male genitalia.

#### Conclusions

In comparison with the current tribal classification, only Paragini and *Toxomerini* were in the present study

recovered as monophyletic tribes in congruence with present arrangements. Node 14 (Syrphini + Paragini + Toxomerini) has always been recovered in our analyses, being consistent through the 17 analyses. Whether Syrphini comprises all taxa from node 14, or is best divided into multiple tribes, awaits further study. Our results did not recover Bacchini as a single monophyletic clade, rather a classification into three tribes is suggested. The close relationship between the taxa within these particular groups was proposed by Shatalkin (1975) giving the names Melanostomina and Platycheirina, within Melanostomini, and Bacchini for genus *Baccha*.

Among particular results we found that *Epistrophe* was not recovered as a subgenus of *Epistrophe*, *Chrysotoxum* was resolved as part of Syrphini s.s., and *Meligramma* and *Fagisyrrhus* were not directly related with *Melangyna*. The traditional placement of *Lapposyrphus* as a subgenus of *Eupeodes* was not found, the taxon was resolved as a separate lineage and sister group of *Scaeva*, *Simosyrphus* and *Pseudodoros*. The placement of *Pseudodoros* is unexpected, but few estimates of its phylogenetic affinities exist. *Megasyrphus* was resolved as sister to *Didea*, and not close to *Eriozona* s.s., supporting results of larval evidence by Rotheray and Gilbert (1999). Based on the present data, the rank of these taxa could be subgeneric or generic. A phylogenetic placement of *Eriozona* s.s. as sister to *Dideoidea* was not suggested earlier. Also the placement of *Xanthogramma* was not previously suggested.

*Allobaccha* is sister group of Paragini, a position never reported before for *Allobaccha*. *Asarkina*, *Episyrrhus* and *Meliscaeva* are related with *Allograpta*, and not with traditional Syrphini. *Allograpta* and *Sphaerophoria* are closely related based on separate studies on adult morphology, larvae and molecules, but following our results, the genus *Allograpta* is paraphyletic without *Sphaerophoria*.

Our most interesting finding is the monophyletic clade comprising the included representatives of the genera *Ocyrtamus*, *Salpingogaster* (*Eosalpingogaster*) and *Toxomerus* occurring only in the New World. *Ocyrtamus* taxa fall into several lineages, and future studies will aim to increase the taxon sampling of this diverse lineage for an improved estimate of relationships and classification. Whether *Toxomerus* and *Ocyrtamus* are members of the same tribe or eventually as a result of a more extensive taxon and character sampling of these speciose genera will be resolved as monophyletic units awaits further study. Multiple genera comprise species with distributions extending into the New World, e.g., *Allograpta*, *Didea*, *Scaeva*, *Eriozona*, and *Xanthandrus*, to name a few, but few genera are distributed exclusively in the Neotropical region, such as *Argentinomyia*, *Notosyrphus* or *Talahua*.

Based on the current results we hesitate to make changes in Syrphinae classification, we rather stress the necessity of a combined analysis of available morphological and molecular characters, to test if the phylogenetic affinities shown in the present results will remain unchallenged.

## Acknowledgments

This study would not have been possible without the help of numerous researchers making specimens available for molecular study. During field work personnel of ValBio (Madagascar), INBio (Costa Rica) and Universidad del Valle (Cali, Colombia) offered most generous help and support.

We are most grateful to F. Christian Thompson (Systematic Entomology Laboratory, Smithsonian, Washington, DC, USA) for continuous support during this study. We thank Graham E. Rotheray (National Museums of Scotland, Edinburgh, Scotland) for useful comments and suggestions.

The authors wish to thank Jyrki Muona and Jaakko Hyvönen for possibility to use FMNH (Finnish Museum of Natural History) computer cluster for analyses. Thanks are also due to University of Alicante and Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia for economic support (grant AP2003-3998).

## References

- Aagesen, L., 2005. Direct optimization, affine gap costs, and node stability. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 36, 641–653.
- Arevalo, E., Zhu, Y., Carpenter, J.M., Strassmann, J.E., 2004. The phylogeny of the social wasp subfamily Polistinae: evidence from microsatellite flanking sequences, mitochondrial COI sequence, and morphological characters. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 4, 8.
- Belshaw, R., Quicke, D.L.J., 1997. A molecular phylogeny of the Aphidiinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 7, 281–293.
- Belshaw, R., Lopez-Vaamonde, C., Degerli, N., Quicke, D.L.J., 2001. Paraphyletic taxa and taxonomic chaining: evaluating the classification of braconine wasps (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) using 28S, D2–3 rDNA sequences and morphological characters. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond.* 73, 411–424.
- Brammer, C.A., von Dohlen, C.D., 2007. Evolutionary history of Stratiomyidae (Insecta: Diptera): The molecular phylogeny of a diverse family of flies. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 43, 660–673.
- Bremer, K., 1988. The limits of amino acid sequence data in angiosperms phylogenetic reconstruction. *Evolution* 42, 795–803.
- Bremer, K., 1994. Branch support and tree stability. *Cladistics* 10, 295–304.
- Bybee, S.M., Taylor, S.D., Nelson, C.R., Whiting, M.F., 2004. A phylogeny of robber flies (Diptera: Asilidae) at the subfamilial level: molecular evidence. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 30, 789–797.
- Campbell, B.C., Steffen-Campbell, J.D., Werren, J.H., 1993. Phylogeny of *Nasonia* species complex (Hymenoptera, Pteromalidae) inferred from an internal described spacer (ITS2) and 28S rDNA sequences. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 2, 225–237.

- Caterino, M.S., Reed, R., Kuo, M., Sperling, F.A.H., 2001. A partitioned likelihood analysis of swallowtail butterfly phylogeny. *Syst. Biol.* 50, 106–127.
- Cheng, X., Lu, J., Huang, C., Zhou, H., Dai, Z., Zhang, G., 2000. Determination of phylogenetic position of Pipizini (Diptera: Syrphidae): based on molecular, biological and morphological data. *Sci. China C* 42, 146–156.
- Curran, C.H., 1928. The Syrphidae of the Malay Peninsula. *J. Fed. Malay St. Mus.* 14, 141–324.
- De Laet, J., Wheeler, W.C., 2003. POY, Version 3.0.11 (Wheeler, W.C., Gladstein, D.S., De Laet, J., May 6, 2003). Command line documentation.
- Dusek, J., Laska, P., 1967. Versuch zum Aufbau eines natürlichen Systems mitteleuropäischer Arten der Unterfamilie Syrphinae (Diptera). *Acta Sci. Nat. Brno* 1, 349–390.
- Enderlein, G., 1938. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Syrphiden. *Sber. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berl.* 1937, 192–237.
- Fabricius, J.C., 1805. *Systema Antliatorum secundum ordines, genera, species adiectis synonymis, locis, observationibus, descriptionibus.* Carolus Reichard, Brunsvigae (=Brunswick).
- Fluke, C.L., 1950. The male genitalia of *Syrphus*, *Epistrophe* and related genera (Diptera, Syrphidae). *Trans. Wis. Acad. Sci. Arts. Lett.* 40, 115–148.
- Fluke, C.L., 1956. Catalogue of the family Syrphidae in the Neotropical region. *Rev. Bras. Entomol.* 6, 193–268.
- Frost, D.R., Rodrigues, M.T., Grant, T., Titus, T.A., 2001. Phylogenetics of the lizard genus *Tropidurus* (Squamata: Tropiduridae: Tropidurinae): Direct optimization, descriptive efficiency, and sensitivity analysis of congruence between molecular data and morphology. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 21, 352–371.
- Ghorpade, K.D., 1981. An anomalous new *Episyrphus* (Diptera: Syrphidae) from Madagascar. *Colemania* 1, 89–94.
- Giribet, G., Edgecombe, G.D., Wheeler, W.C., 2001. Arthropod phylogeny based on eight molecular loci and morphology. *Nature* 413, 157–161.
- Gladstein, D.S., Wheeler, W.C., 1996–2000. POY: phylogeny reconstruction via direct optimization of DNA data. Available at [ftp.amnh.org/pub/molecular/poy](http://ftp.amnh.org/pub/molecular/poy)
- Goeldlin de Tiefenau, P., 1974. Contribution à l'étude systématique et écologique des Syrphidae (Dipt.) de la Suisse occidentale. *Bull. Soc. Entomol. Suisse* 47, 151–251.
- Goffe, E.R., 1952. An outline of a revised classification of the Syrphidae (Diptera) on phylogenetic lines. *Trans. Soc. Br. Entomol.* 11, 97–124.
- Grant, T., Kluge, A.G., 2003. Data exploration in phylogenetic inference: scientific, heuristic, or neither. *Cladistics* 19, 379–418.
- Hippa, H., 1968. A generic revision of the genus *Syrphus* and allied genera (Diptera, Syrphidae) in the Palearctic region, with descriptions of the male genitalia. *Acta Entomol. Fenn.* 25, 1–94.
- Hull, F.M., 1949a. The morphology and inter-relationship of the genera of syrphid flies, recent and fossil. *Trans. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 26, 257–408.
- Hull, F.M., 1949b. The genus *Baccha* from the New World. *Entomol. Am.* 27, 89–291.
- Kassebeer, C.F., 1999a. Die Gattung *Paragus* Latreille, 1804 (Diptera, Syrphidae) in der Elfenbeinküste. *Dipteron* 2, 31–44.
- Kassebeer, C.F., 1999b. Die Gattung *Paragus* Latreille, 1804 (Diptera, Syrphidae) auf Madagaskar, den Komoren und den Maskarenen. *Dipteron* 2, 75–92.
- Kassebeer, C.F., 2000. Zur Gattung *Pseudodoros* Becker, 1903 (Diptera, Syrphidae). *Dipteron* 3, 73–92.
- Kassebeer, C.F., 2001. Eine neue *Paragus* Latreille, 1804 (Diptera, Syrphidae) aus Äthiopien. *Dipteron* 4, 33–36.
- Laska, P., Pérez-Bañón, C., Mazánek, L., Rojo, S., Stähls, G., Marcos-García, M.A., Bıcık, V., Dusek, J., 2006. Taxonomy of the genera *Scaeva*, *Simosyrphus* and *Ischiodon* (Diptera: Syrphidae): Descriptions of immature stages and status of taxa. *Eur. J. Entomol.* 103, 637–655.
- Laurenne, N.M., Broad, G.R., Quicke, D.L.J., 2006. Direct optimization and multiple alignment of 28S, D2–D3 rDNA sequences: problems with indels on the way to a molecular phylogeny of the cryptine ichneumon wasps (Insecta: Hymenoptera). *Cladistics* 22, 442–473.
- Lunt, D.H., Zhang, D.X., Szymura, J.M., Hewitt, G.M., 1996. The insect cytochrome oxidase I gene: evolutionary patterns and conserved primers for phylogenetic studies. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 5, 153–165.
- Matsumura, S., Adachi, J., 1917. Synopsis of the economic Syrphidae of Japan (Part III). *Entomol. Mag. Kyoto* 3, 14–46.
- Meier, R., Wiegmann, B.M., 2002. A phylogenetic analysis of Coelopidae (Diptera) based on morphological and DNA sequence data. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 25, 393–407.
- Mengual, X., Stähls, G., Vujic, A., Marcos-García, M.A., 2006. Integrative taxonomy of Iberian *Merodon* species (Diptera, Syrphidae). *Zootaxa* 1377, 1–26.
- Metz, M.A., Thompson, F.C., 2001. A revision of the larger species of *Toxomerus* (Diptera: Syrphidae) with description of a new species. *Stud. Dipt.* 8, 225–256.
- Mogi, M., Chan, K.L., 1996. Predatory habits of dipteran larvae inhabiting *Nepenthes* pitchers. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* 44, 233–245.
- Newman, E., 1834. Attempted division of British insects into natural orders. *Entomol. Mag.* 2, 379–431.
- Nishida, K., Rotheray, G.E., Thompson, F.C., 2002. First non-predaceous syrphinae flower fly (Diptera: Syrphidae): a new leaf-mining *Allograpta* from Costa Rica. *Stud. Dipt.* 9, 421–436.
- Rojo, S., Gilbert, F., Marcos-García, M.A., Nieto, J.M., Mier, M.P., 2003. A World Review of Predatory Hoverflies (Diptera, Syrphidae: Syrphinae) and their Prey. CIBIO Ediciones, Alicante.
- Rojo, S., Stähls, G., Pérez-Bañón, C., Marcos-García, M.A., 2006. Testing molecular barcodes: Invariant mitochondrial DNA sequences vs the larval and adult morphology of West Palearctic *Pandasyophthalmus* species (Diptera: Syrphidae: Paragini). *Eur. J. Entomol.* 103, 443–458.
- Rotheray, G.E., 1993. Colour Guide to Hoverfly Larvae (Diptera, Syrphidae) in Britain and Europe. *Dipterists Digest* no. 9. Derek Whiteley, Sheffield, UK.
- Rotheray, G.E., 2003. The predatory larvae of two *Nepenthosyrphus* species living in pitcher plants (Diptera, Syrphidae). *Stud. Dipt.* 10, 219–226.
- Rotheray, G.E., Gilbert, F., 1989. The phylogeny and systematics of European predaceous Syrphidae (Diptera) based on larval and puparial stages. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 95, 29–70.
- Rotheray, G.E., Gilbert, F., 1999. Phylogeny of Palearctic Syrphidae (Diptera): evidence from larval stages. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 127, 1–112.
- Rotheray, G.E., Zumbado, M., Hancock, E.G., Thompson, F.C., 2000. Remarkable aquatic predators in the genus *Ocyptamus* (Diptera, Syrphidae). *Stud. Dipt.* 7, 385–389.
- Sanchis, A., Michelena, J.M., Latorre, A., Quicke, D.L.J., Gärdenfors, U., Belshaw, R., 2001. The phylogenetic analysis of variable-length sequence data: elongation factor-1 $\alpha$  introns in European populations of the parasitoid wasp genus *Pauesia* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 18, 1117–1131.
- Schulmeister, S., Wheeler, W.C., Carpenter, J.M., 2002. Simultaneous analysis of the basal lineages of Hymenoptera (Insecta) using sensitivity analysis. *Cladistics* 18, 455–484.
- Shannon, R.C., 1921. A reclassification of the subfamilies and genera of North American Syrphidae (Diptera). *Bull. Brooklyn Entomol. Soc.* 16, 65–72.
- Shannon, R.C., 1922. A reclassification of the subfamilies and genera of North American Syrphidae (Diptera). *Conclusions. Bull. Brooklyn Entomol. Soc.* 17, 30–42.

- Shannon, R.C., 1923. A reclassification of the subfamilies and genera of North American Syrphidae (Diptera). Appendix. Bull. Brooklyn Entomol. Soc. 18, 17–21.
- Shatalkin, A.I., 1975. A taxonomic analysis of the hover flies (Diptera, Syrphidae). I. Entomol. Rev. Wash. 54, 117–125.
- Simon, C., Frati, F., Beckenbach, A., Crespi, B., Liu, H., Flook, P., 1994. Evolution, weighting and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene-sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain-reaction primers. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 87, 651–701.
- Smith, K.V.G., Vockeroth, J.R., 1980. Family Syrphidae. In: Crosskey, R.W. (Ed.), Catalogue of the Diptera of the Afrotropical Region. British Museum (Natural History), London, pp. 488–510.
- Sorensen, J.T., Campbell, B.C., Gill, R.J., Steffen-Campbell, J.D., 1995. Non-monophyly of Auchenorrhyncha (“Homoptera”), based upon 18S rDNA phylogeny: eco-evolutionary and cladistic implications within pre-Heteropteroidea Hemiptera (s.l.) and a proposal for new monophyletic suborders. Pan-Pacific Entomol. 71, 31–60.
- Ståhls, G., 2006. Placement of *Cacoceria* and phylogenetic relationships of the xylofine genera of the tribe Milesiini (Diptera, Syrphidae: Eristalinae) based on molecular characters. Zootaxa 1171, 17–29.
- Ståhls, G., Nyblom, K., 2000. Phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Cheilisia* (Diptera, Syrphidae) using mitochondrial COI sequence data. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 15, 235–241.
- Ståhls, G., Hippa, H., Rotheray, G., Muona, J., Gilbert, F., 2003. Phylogeny of Syrphidae (Diptera) inferred from combined analysis of molecular and morphological characters. Syst. Entomol. 28, 433–450.
- Ståhls, G., Vujic, A., Stuke, J.H., Doczkal, D., Muona, J., 2004. Phylogeny of the genus *Cheilisia* and the tribe Rhingiini (Diptera, Syrphidae) based on molecular and morphological characters. Cladistics 4, 1–17.
- Thompson, F.C., 1969. A new genus of microdontine flies (Diptera: Syrphidae) with notes on the placement of the subfamily. Psyche 76, 74–85.
- Thompson, F.C., 1972. A contribution to a generic revision of the Neotropical Milesiinae (Diptera: Syrphidae). Arq. Zool. 23, 73–215.
- Thompson, F.C., 1999. Key to the genera of the flower flies (Diptera: Syrphidae) of the Neotropical Region including descriptions of new genera and species and a glossary of taxonomic terms. Contr. Entomol. Int. 3, 319–378.
- Thompson, F.C. (Ed.), 2006. Biosystematic Database of World Diptera, Version 7.5. Available from <http://www.diptera.org/biosys.htm> (accessed 15 February 2007).
- Thompson, F.C., Rotheray, G.E., 1998. Family Syrphidae. In: Papp, L., Darvas, B. (Eds.), Manual of Palaearctic Diptera, Vol. 3. Science Herald, Budapest, pp. 81–139.
- Thompson, F.C., Vockeroth, J.R., 1989. Family Syrphidae. In: Evenhuis, N.L. (Ed.), Catalog of the Diptera of the Australasian and Oceanian Regions. Special Publication 86, Bishop Museum, Honolulu, pp. 437–458.
- Thompson, F.C., Zumbado, M., 2000. Flower flies of the subgenus *Ocyptamus* (*Mimocalla* Hull) (Diptera: Syrphidae). Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash. 102, 773–793.
- Thompson, F.C., Vockeroth, J.R., Sedman, Y.S., 1976. A catalogue of the Diptera of the Americas south of the United States. Chap. 46: Family Syrphidae. Museum of Zoology of the University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil.
- Torp, E., 1984. De danske svirrefluer (Diptera: Syrphidae): Kendetegn, levevis og udebreelse. Danmarks Dyreliv, bind 1. Fauna Bøger, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Torp, E., 1994. Danmarks Svirrefluer (Diptera: Syrphidae). Danmarks Dyreliv, Bind 6. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, Denmark.
- Verrall, G.H., 1901. British Flies, VIII. Platypezidae, Pipunculidae and Syrphidae of Great Britain. Gurney & Jackson, London.
- Vockeroth, J.R., 1969. A revision of the genera of the Syrphini (Diptera, Syrphidae). Mem. Entomol. Soc. Can. 62, 1–176.
- Vockeroth, J.R., 1973a. Three additional synonyms of *Allograpta* (Diptera: Syrphidae). Can. Entomol. 105, 1101–1104.
- Vockeroth, J.R., 1973b. The identity of some genera of Syrphini (Diptera: Syrphidae) described by Matsumura. Can. Entomol. 105, 1175–1179.
- Vockeroth, J.R., 1986. Nomenclatural notes on Nearctic *Eupeodes* (including *Metasyrphus*) and *Dasysyrphus* (Diptera: Syrphidae). Can. Entomol. 118, 199–204.
- Vockeroth, J.R., 1992. The flower flies of the Subfamily Syrphinae of Canada, Alaska, and Greenland (Diptera: Syrphidae). The Insects and Arachnids of Canada, Part 18. Centre for Land and Biological Resources Research, Ottawa.
- Vockeroth, J.R., Thompson, F.C., 1987. Family Syrphidae. In: McAlpine, J.F. (Ed.), Manual of Nearctic Diptera, Vol. 2. Agriculture Canada, Canadian Government Publishing Centre, Hull, Quebec.
- Vujic, A., Ståhls, G., Rojo, S., Radenkovic, S., Simic, S., 2008. Systematics and phylogeny of the tribe Paragini (Diptera: Syrphidae) based on molecular and morphological characters. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 152, 507–536.
- Wheeler, W.C., 1996. Optimization alignment: the end of multiple sequence alignment in phylogenetics? Cladistics 12, 1–9.
- Wheeler, W.C., Agesen, L., Arango, C.P., Faivovich, J., Grant, T., D’Haese, C., Janies, D., Smith, W.L., Varon, A., Giribet, G., 2006. Dynamic Homology and Phylogenetic Systematics. A Unified Approach Using POY. AMNH, New York.
- Williston, S.W., 1887. Synopsis of the North American Syrphidae. Bull. US Nat. Mus. 31.
- Winterton, S.L., Wiegmann, B.M., Schlinger, E.I., 2007. Phylogeny and Bayesian divergence time estimations of small-headed flies (Diptera: Acroceridae) using multiple molecular markers. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 43, 808–832.
- Wirth, W.W., Sedman, Y.S., Weems, H.V., 1965. Family Syrphidae. In: Stone, A., Sabrosky, C.W., Wirth, W.W., Foote, R.H., Coulson, J.R. (Eds.), A Catalog of the Diptera of America North of Mexico. Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, pp. 557–625.